

## THE TRAVANCORE PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS REGULATION AMENDMENT BILL.

**MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI:** I beg to move that the Travancore Public Accountants Regulation Amendment Bill be taken up for consideration.

**MR. A. GOPALA MENON:** I second it.

The question was put and carried.

### Clause 1.

The question that Clause 1 do stand part of the Bill was put and carried and the clause was added to the Bill.

### Clause 2.

The question that Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill was put and carried and the clause was added to the Bill.

### Preamble.

The question that the Preamble do stand part of the Bill was put and carried and the Preamble was added to the Bill.

### Title.

The question that the Title do stand part of the Bill was put and carried and the Title was added to the Bill.

**MR. PUTHUPALLY S. KRISHNA PILLAI:** I beg to move that the Bill be passed.

**MR. A. GOPALA MENON:** I second it.

The question was put and carried and the Bill was passed.

## THE TRAVANCORE COMPANIES REGULATION AMENDMENT BILL.

**MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI:** I beg to present the report of the Select Committee on the Travancore Companies Regulation Amendment Bill and beg to move that the Bill as reported be taken up for consideration.

**MR. A. GOPALA MENON:** I second it.

**MR. A. THANU PILLAI:** Sir, with your permission I have a request to make. The Legal Remembrancer has now moved that the Companies Regulation Amendment Bill be taken up for consideration. I request the Chair to have that Bill taken up last, because it is a lengthy bill and the Select Committee Report on that Bill was supplied to us rather late. The other Bills therefore may be proceeded with and this Bill may be taken up as the last item of legislative business.

**PRESIDENT:** Does the Legal Remembrancer find any difficulty in regard to that?

**MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI:** There is no difficulty in regard to that except that the other two Bills that we have are small Bills and no material advantage will be gained by postponement.

**PRESIDENT:** I do not think that will make much difference, but it will probably give the honourable member respite for the day.

**MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI:** It is only five minutes more that we have got to-day.

**MR. A. THANU PILLAI:** In that case I do not object to the Bill being taken up now.

The question that the Bill as reported by the Select Committee be taken up for consideration was put and carried.

#### Clauses 1 to 3,

The question that Clauses 1 to 3 do stand part of the Bill was put and carried and the clauses were added to the Bill.

#### Clause 4.

**MR. K. T. THOMAS:** Sir, I beg to move that sub-clause (3) of Clause 4 be omitted.

That sub-clause reads—

“This section shall not apply to a joint family carrying on joint family trade or business; and where two or more such joint families form a partnership, in computing the number of persons for the purposes of this section, minor members of such families should be excluded.”

I sent in this amendment merely because I had not been able to appreciate the idea under-lying sub-clause 3. Therefore with the permission of the Chair I may be given an opportunity of hearing the Legal Remembrancer in regard to the necessity for the sub-clause. Hence I move the amendment.

**MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI:** The position appears to have been reversed by the member who has made this motion. I thought, before an honourable member moved an amendment for the deletion of a clause which has been approved by the Select Committee, he had to show sufficient cause or convincing reasons why it ought to be deleted. But as he does not show why it should be deleted I have to state why it should be retained and I proceed to do that. What is it that is contained in sub-clause 3? The first portion in sub-clause 3 deals with an exemption in favour of a joint Hindu family carrying on joint family trade or business. It says that a single joint Hindu family cannot be treated as an Association falling within the prohibition contained in Sub-clauses 1 and 2.

**MR. K. T. THOMAS:** May I point out to the honourable member that the Bill supplied to me as having been revised by the Select Committee has nothing to do with a joint Hindu family?

**MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI:** I was not reading that sub-clause. I was only mentioning an instance.